Flow of dense granular media A peculiar liquid

Pierre Jop, Yoël Forterre, Mickaël Pailha Maxime Nicolas Olivier Pouliquen

IUSTI-CNRS, Université de Provence, Marseille, France







Dry granular matter :

rigid (very stiff) particles with -non brownian motion -no cohesion -no attraction

hard spheres at T=0





Copyright O. Pouliquen, 2007

Different flow configurations studied both experimentally and numerically



Numerical simulations (DEM) in plane shear Da Cru

Da Cruz et al, PRE 05 GdR Midi Eur. Phys. J 04



One imposes P and $\dot{\gamma}$

Shear stress τ ? Volume fraction ϕ ?

Dimensional analysis:

if one assumes that the system size plays no role

A single dimensionless number:

$$I = \frac{\dot{\gamma} d}{\sqrt{P / \rho}}$$

(Savage 84, Ancey et al 99)

* I ratio between 2 times :

 $1/\gamma$: time for the mean shear

 $d\sqrt{\rho/P}$: microscopic time for rearrangement





$$I = \frac{\dot{\gamma} d}{\sqrt{P / \rho}}$$

Numerical simulations (DEM) in plane shear

Da Cruz et al, PRE 05 GdR Midi Eur. Phys. J 04



One imposes P and $\dot{\gamma}$

Shear stress τ ? Volume fraction ϕ ?

$$\tau = \mu(I)P$$
$$\phi = \phi(I)$$





Constant pressure



And shear at constant volume fraction ??

Bagnold Proc. R. Soc 54 Lois et al PRE 07 Lemaitre PRE 05 Da Cruz et al PRE 05

$$\tau = f_1(\Phi)\rho_s d^2 \dot{\gamma}^2$$
$$P = f_2(\Phi)\rho_s d^2 \dot{\gamma}^2$$



For more complex configurations

we need a real 3D tensorial constitutive law

A 3D generalisation of the friction law : introducing an effectif viscosity

assumptions:

Flow threshold :

1) P isotropic 2) $\dot{\gamma}_{ii}$ and τ_{ii} are co-linear $\tau_{ij} = \mu(I)P \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \text{ effective } \eta(I) = \frac{\mu(I)P}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|}$ (Savage 83, Goddard 86, Schaeffer 87,...) $\dot{\gamma}_{ij} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}$ $I = \frac{\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{d}}{\sqrt{P/Q}}$ $\left\|\dot{\gamma}\right\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}}$ $\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\tau_{ij}\tau_{ij}}$ $\|\tau\| < \mu_{c}P$

Mass and momentum equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} &= 0, \\ \rho_s \phi \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) &= \rho_s \phi g \sin \theta - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z}, \\ \rho_s \phi \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) &= -\rho_s \phi g \cos \theta - \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau_{ij} = \frac{\mu(I)P}{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}$$

3 quantitative tests where 3D effects exist:

-steady flow in a rough channel -avalanches

-roll wave instability

We keep the same material (glass beads .5mm) for which the friction law has been calibrated on steady uniform flows down inclined plane...

No free parameter....

1st test: flows on a heap : a full 3D problem (Pierre Jop's PhD)





Copyright O. Pouliquen, 2007

Flow between rough lateral walls:

Ô.

5 --10 -

-15 20 --25 --30 --35 -

0

Jop et al Nature 2006



50

0

0,2

0,8

Y Copyright O. Pouliquen, 2007

1

0,6

0,4





Acceleration of the layer (V(t) \nearrow), and erosion (h(t) \uparrow)





3D test : Long wave instability in granular flows By Yoel Forterre (Forterre, JFM 06)



Experimental Setup : forcing at a given frequency



Forterre and Pouliquen JFM 02

Dispersion relation (glass beads, a=29°. h=5.3 mm)

Growth rate

Phase velocity



Copyright O. Pouliquen, 2007

Stability diagram (glass beads)



h(x,t)Full 3D linear stability analysis: \mathbf{g} v(x, z, t)u(x, z, t) θ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = 0,$ $\rho_s \phi \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) = \rho_s \phi g \sin \theta - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z},$ $\rho_s \phi \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) = -\rho_s \phi g \cos \theta - \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z},$ $\tau_{ij} = \frac{\mu(I)P}{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}_{ij}$

No fit parameter...

Copyright O. Pouliquen, 2007

 $\geq x$

Forterre JFM 06

Dispersion relation



Forterre JFM 06

Instability threshold



Copyright O. Pouliquen, 2007

The simple visco-plastic approach:

$$\tau_{ij} = \eta \gamma_{ij} \qquad \eta = \frac{\mu(I)P}{\|\gamma\|}$$

captures the viscous nature of granular flows and gives quantitative predictions in 3D geometries

??? Other configuration ???
??? other material ???

But ...

... serious limits !!!

- Quasi-static regime
- -Flow threshold

Limits in the quasi-static limit when I-> 0 Shear bands in quasi-static flow not captured (C .Cawthorn APS DFD 07, Forterre Pouliquen ARFM 08)

« narrow shear band » d $Z_{\perp \gamma}$ V/Vw 1.0 0.8_ 0.6-0.4 0.2-Howell et al PRL 99 Mueth et al Nature 00 0.0 10 12 ö Bocquet et al PRE 02 y/d

« wide shear bands »
d
d
Depken et al PRE 06

flow threshold not well captured

-no hysteresis



link with the microstructure?

Role of the fluctuations?

Aranson and Tsimring PRE,01, Louge Phys. Fluids 03, Josserand et al 06 Lemaitre 02 Role of the correlations? Pouliquen et al 01, Ertas and Halsey 03, Mills et al 99,00,04 Jenkins and Chevoir 01, Jenkins Phys. Fluids 06,

Link with other glassy systems?



Radjai and Roux PRL 02



Pouliquen PRI Of O. Pouliquen, 2007

Conclusion:

The visco-plastic model gives good predictions when the granular material flows in the inertial regime but fails close to quasi-static regime

Perspectives:

Link with the microstructure

More complexe materials:

cohesion? Rognon et al PRE 06

role of the interstitial fluid ? Cassar et al Phys. Fluids 06

Changing time scales...

by putting the granular material in water





A naive idea :

fluid only plays a role by changing the time scale of rearrangements

$$\tau = P \mu(\mathbf{I}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{I} = \mathring{\gamma} t_{\text{micro}}$$

$$\text{viscous}: \quad t_{\text{micro}} = \frac{\eta_f}{\alpha P}$$

$$\text{dry}: \quad t_{\text{micro}} = \frac{d}{\sqrt{P/\rho}}$$



Suggestion for immersed granular media:

$$\tau = P \mu(\mathbf{I}) \qquad I = \frac{\eta \dot{\gamma}}{\alpha P} \qquad \text{Or} \qquad \tau = f_1(\Phi)\eta \dot{\gamma}$$
$$\Phi = \Phi(I) \qquad P = f_2(\Phi)\eta \dot{\gamma}$$

Similar to rheology of dense suspensions....

Morris and Boulay 99 Ovarlez et al 06...

A simple experiment:



Loose sample

Dense sample

Experimental setup (Mickael Pailha PhD)







Hand waving argument (Iverson Rev. Geo. 97, Huppert 04)





experiments:



Conclusions

A visco-plastic description of granular flows is a first step towards a granular rheology (quantitative predictions in 3D)

⇒Other configuration (Pb of simulation of a peculiar visco-plastic rheologie...)

 \Rightarrow Transition to the quasi-static regime Jamming transition

 \Rightarrow transition to the kinetic gaseous regime

 \Rightarrow Link with the micro-structure

The granular rheology gives a new point of view for immersed granular media: link with suspension rheology ? Copyright O. Pouliquen, 2007





Yoël Forterre Pierre Jop, Maxime Nicolas Cyril Cassar Mickael Pailha Prabhu Nott Jeff Morris Neil Balmforth Bruno Andreotti Olivier Dauchot



